

Attachment #1: Summary of documents EDF and others submitted during the Bay-Delta Conservation Plan process

<u>Date</u>	<u>Doc. #</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Topics</u>
10/2/06	1	“Comments on the Proposed Planning Agreement for the Bay-Delta Conservation Plan”	NCCP standard, review of interim projects
1/15/08	2	“Recommendations for Next Phase of the Bay-Delta Conservation Plan Evaluation”	NCCP standard, flows, Coordinating other processes, analytical tools
3/24/08	3	“Scoping Comments on the Proposed EIS/R for the Bay-Delta Conservation Plan”	Conservation goals, alternative supplies, geographic scope
5/30/08	4	“Scoping comments on the BDCP EIS/R”	Lack of measurable biological goals/objectives, range of alternatives
7/24/08	5	“Why we should BDCP identify quantitative objectives now?”	Lack of quantitative biological objectives necessary to develop and assess conservation measures, adaptive mgmt, linkage to permit terms and conditions
8/6/08	6	“Recommendations for Improving the Initial DRERIP Coarse Evaluation Exercise and the Development of Conservation Measures”	Lack of biological goals/objectives and linkage to flow measures, lack of inclusion of published findings on flow-abundance relationships
12/17/08	7	“Bay-Delta Conservation Plan’s Implementation of, and Consistency with, the Delta Vision Strategic Plan’s Implementation”	Need enhanced flow measures, canal capacity alternatives, governance, financing, need to consider alternative water supplies
2/10/09	8	“Unresolved Issues in the BDCP Process”	NCCP standard, need to consider full range of flows, canal capacity alternatives, need to consider alternative water supplies, governance, lack of quantified biological goals/objectives
4/25/09	9	“Fundamental Elements of a Successful Bay-Delta Conservation Plan”	NCCP standard, lack of quantified biological goals/objectives, need to

			enhance flow measures, habitat, governance/assurances, financing
7/21/09	10	“Proposed Long-term BDCP Water Operations Range of Criteria”	Need to enhance flow measures, need for appropriate baseline operations
9/16/09	11	“Draft Near-Term Operations Analysis”	Inadequate ecosystem protection and high uncertainty of proposed operations, inadequate science incorporated
12/20/09	12	“Draft Conservation Plan (Chapter 3)”	Lack of quantified biological goals/objectives, inadequate science incorporated, lack of adaptive management
1/17/10	13	“Critical Issues in 2010 for the Bay-Delta Conservation Plan”	Lack of quantified biological goals/objectives, need to comply with State legislation, need to revise project purpose and need
1/22/10	14	Letter re: Conditions for moving forward with preliminary projective description for the purposes of analysis	Lack of quantified biological goals/objectives, need to enhance flow measures, need for adaptive management, governance
2/10/10	15	Letter re: Implications of the TRO on the BDCP	Need for State to fully implement CESA with regard to salmon and Delta smelt
6/4/10	16	“Recent BDCP Accomplishments and Remaining Tasks”	Lack of quantified biological goals/objectives, effects analysis, need adequate range of alternatives, need to incorporate SWRCB flow criteria, governance
6/11/10	17	“Conveyance and Project Operations Alternatives for the BDCP and the Delta Plan	Need adequate range of alternatives, need to revise project purpose and need, inadequate range of flow measures, lack of inclusion of alternative supplies
7/22/10	18	“Recommendations on Refining Purpose and Need Statement”	Request to revise project purpose and need

10/15/10	19	“Governance and Biological Objectives”	Role of the permitting agencies, linkages between biological objectives and water supply assurances
10/20/10	20	“ Selection of CEQA/NEPA Alternatives for BDCP”	Need for credible effects analysis, need for adequate range of alternatives, need to incorporate SWRCB flow criteria and comply with State legislation